

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,076	04/09/2001	Kyung-Shik Choi	AB-1041 US	8738
7	590 04/23/2002			
RICHARD J. STREIT			EXAMINER	
LADAS & PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60604		LAM, THANH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/832,076

Applicant(s)

Choi

Examiner

Thanh Lam

Art Unit **2834**



ng date of this
s.C. § 133). duce any
•
is
tion.
sideration.
quirement.
-

Application/Control Number: 09832076

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a motor structure classified in class 310, subclass 43.
 - II. Claims 5-7, drawn to a method of manufacturing a motor, classified in class 29, subclass 596.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method claims of group II does not required a drive circuit for detecting a position of the rotor and sequentially applying conducting signals to the multi-phase coils as cited in group I for practice claimed invention of group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Lee on 4/19/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2834

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Lam whose telephone number is (703) 308-7626.

Thanh Lam

April 19, 2002